PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

Unite	ed States District Court Middle	District OF	Alabama	7005 MAY 25 A	3 8
	h you were convicted): 1 LEE KIRBY		Docket or C	25e No. 156 - 15	S CLK URY OLA
Place of Confine	ement: CF P.O. Box 56, Elmore,	4e, 36025	Prisoner No.: /8	2340	77 G 7 V
1	the name under which you were convicted) M. L. KIRBY		t (authorized person have Forniss, Wa	ring custody of petitioner)	
The Attorney G	eneral of the State of Alabama,	et al.			•
1. (a) Name an	PET d location of court that entered the judgr ounty CIRCUIT COURT,	ITION nent of conviction OPELIKA	n you are challengir	ng: 36 80 1	
(b) Criminal	docket or case number (if you know):	CC-01-10	001,1002,1	1003 (consolidat	TED)
(b) Date of s	he judgment of conviction (if you know) centencing: April 11, 2002				-
3. Length of se4. In this case,	were you convicted on more than one co	ount or of more th		Yes No 🗆	- 1
5. Identify all o	erimes of which you were convicted and uy 1° X 2; RAPE 1°	sentenced in this	case:		
6. (a) What wa	as your plea? (Check one)				
(1) (2)	Not guilty (3) Guilty (4)		endere (no contest) lea 🚨	u	

Page 3

(c) If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)
Jury 🗹 Judge only 🗖
Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or a post-trial hearing?
Yes D No 12
Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
Yes V No 🗆
If you did appeal, answer the following:
(a) Name of court: Alabama Court of CRUINAL Appeals
(b) Docket or case number (if you know):
(c) Result: AffIRMED by MEMORANDUM
(d) Date of result (if you know): NOVEMBER 15, 2002
(e) Citation to the case (if you know): William LEE KIRBY V State of Alabama So 25
(a) Grounds raised Dep touch case tene in consolidations the indictments of two
(f) Grounds raised: DID third counter in consolidating the indictments of two
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two delegent victims? (2) DID tripe courter in admitting into evidence the Victims
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID trial court era in admitting into evidence the Victima out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Her
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID trial court er in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Here DO of the Courter Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a coor
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID trial court er in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Here DO of the Courter Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a coor
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two different victims? (2) DID tripe court we in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Hender of the Cruminal Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a copy of Original appellate Orief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further necessity of appeal)
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID trial court er in admitting into avidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Hendral of the Courter Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a coor
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two different victims? (2) DID tripe court we in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Hender of the Cruminal Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a copy of Original appellate Orief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further necessity of appeal)
(f) Grounds raised: DID third count in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID third court in admitting into swidence the Victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Her Op. of the Chuinac Court of Appeals As petitioner has never seen a coap of original appellate brief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further necessity of appeal) (g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\overline{1}\) No \(\overline{1}\)
(f) Grounds raised: DID third count in consolidating the indictments of two different victims? (2) DID third count in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Here Op. of the Chuiwar Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a Copy of Original appellate Orief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further necessity of appeal) (g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\overline{a}\) No \(\overline{a}\)
(f) Grounds raised: DID trial courtur in consolidating the indictments of two deferent victims? (2) DID trial court are in admitting into suidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Mer Op. of the Cruiwar Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a copy of original appellate brief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further necessity of appeal) (g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\text{\text{No IP}}\) If yes, answer the following: (1) Name of court:
(f) Grounds raised: Dro trial courter in consolidating the indictments of two different victims? (2) Did trial court are in admitting into evidence the victims out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assured from the Mer Op. of the Crumwar Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a consolidation of Original appellate brief, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or inform him of his further necessity of appeal) (g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\text{No.10}\) No \(\text{Diff.}\) If yes, answer the following: (1) Name of court: (2) Docket or case number (if you know):
(f) Grounds raised: DID think count in Consolidating the indictments of two different victims? (2) DID think count in admitting into evidence the victim out of court statements? (Note: These grounds are assumed from the Her Op. of the Chuiwar Court of Appeals as petitioner has never seen a court of Original appellate Oriet, as his attorney tailed to provide one, or internal him of his further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\text{\text{ No II}}\) (g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes \(\text{\text{ No II}}\) If yes, answer the following: (1) Name of court: (2) Docket or case number (if you know): (3) Result: (4)

Case 3:06-cv-00456-WKW-TFM Document 1 Filed 05/25/2006 Page 4 of 15

12. For this petition, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground.

CAUTION: To proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available state-court remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

GROUND ONE: Petitioner was denied his 6th and 14th Amendment Rights AS
both trial and appellate counsel were ineffective in their Representation
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): The CRUX OF
this politioners substantive due process failure of thial counsels assistante
to Intere to prevente one policy the improper Tury instruction given
Land a set under Color A HA 1975 & 15-25-36 A MANDATURY INSTRUCTION
under Alabama Law when Requested. As to appellate counsel, his failure to
present the ARGUMENT throughout one full round of state appellate courts
a languativale his dayline to inform Petitioner of his ideness The
Resulted in petitioner being time barred for further State post-conviction Res
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground One, explain why: Counsel, Appointed by the
State whose Intures as such are Attributable to the State Amed to
JAME whose thrules no such the Herrical the was not pressure his
perfect appeal or intoru petitioner that he was not pursuing his
CAUSE FURTHER.
(c) Direct Appeal of Ground One:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes No 12
(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: This is the first opportunity
to Raise issue, as petitioner was Jurudictionally time-barred
in State Court.
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?
Yes 🖸 No 🖭
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Data of the court's decision:

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	
Yes 🔲 No 🗀	
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	
Yes □ No □	
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this is	sue in the appeal?
Yes 🔾 No 🔾	
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	
	/
Docket or case number (if you know):	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) of Question (d)(5) is "No," ex	xplain why you did not raise this issue:
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas of	corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that vo
have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground One:	_
ROUND TWO:	
Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific fac	ote that support your claim):
Supporting facts (Bo not argue of the law. Just state the specime rac	ots that support your oldmin).
/	

f you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two, explain why:	
Direct Appeal of Ground Two:	
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
Yes □ No □	
(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas co	rpus in a state trial court
Yes No O	
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	
Type of motion or petition:	
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	
Docket or case number (if you know)	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	
Yes No V	•
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	
Yes 🗆 No 🔍	
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	
Yes D No D	
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	
Docket or case number (if you know):	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	

(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this	s issue:
	/
(e) Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies,	, etc.) that you
have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:	
GROUND THREE:	
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):	
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why:	
(c) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:	
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
Yes 🖸 No 🚨 🖊	
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a sta	ite trial court?
Yes 🗖 No 🗖	
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	
Type of motion or petition:	
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	
/	

Result	f the court's decision:
	(attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3) Di	d you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
Y	es 🗋 No 🗎
(4) Di	d you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
Y	es 🗆 No 🖵
(5) If	your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
Y	es 🗀 ˈNo 🗅
(6) If	your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:
Name	and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
Docke	et or case number (if you know):
Date o	of the court's decision:
Result	(attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(7) If	your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:
	Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you
Other	I
	used to exhaust/your state remedies on Ground Three:
	used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:
	used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:
	used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:
have t	
have t	D FOUR:
have to	FOUR:
have to	
have to	FOUR:
have to	FOUR:
have to	FOUR:

b) If yo	ou did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:	
c) Diı	rect Appeal of Ground Four:	
(1)	If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
	Yes No No	
(2)	If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
d) Pos	t-Conviction Proceedings:	:
(1)	Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus	in a state trial court?
	Yes No No	
(2)	If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	. ,
	pe of motion or petition:	·
Na	me and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	
Do	cket or case number (if you know):	
Da	te of the court's decision:	
Res	sult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(3)	Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	
	Yes 🖸 No 🔾	
(4)	Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	
	Yes 🖸 No 🗖 🖊	
(5)	If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	
	Yes 🖸 No 💆	
(6)	If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Na	me and logation of the court where the appeal was filed:	
Do	cket or case number (if you know):	
	te of the court's decision:	
	sult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	

Document 1

Filed 05/25/2006

Case 3:06-cv-00456-WKW-TFM

15.	Do you have any petition or appeal <u>now pending</u> (filed and not decided yet) in any court, either state or federal,
	for the judgment you are challenging? Yes \(\bigcup \) No \(\bigcup \)
	If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the
٠	issues raised.
16.	Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the
	judgment you are challenging:
	(a) At preliminary hearing: JERRY W. HAUSEN, P.O. BOX 156, 165 EAST MAGNOWA AVE.
	AUBURN, Alabama, 36831
	(b) At arraignment and plea: KENNETH L. FunderBURK, 13/3 BROAD STRUCT,
	(a) At preliminary hearing: TERRY W. Hauser, P.O. Box 156, 165 East Magnowa Ave. Auburn, Alabama, 36831 (b) At arraignment and plea: Kenneth L. Funderburk, 1313 Broad Strut, P.O. Box 1268, Phenix City, Alabama, 36868-1268
	(c) At trial: Funderbunk, Id.
	(d) At sentencing: Funder bunk, Id.
	(e) On appeal: LARRY G. Coper, P.D. Box 1868, AUBURN, Alabama, 3683,
	(f) In any post-conviction proceeding:
	(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding:
17.	Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are
	challenging? Yes □ No ☑
	(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
	(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:
	(c) Give the length of the other sentence:
	(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in
	the future? Yes \square No \square

Page 13 of 15

18. TIMELINESS OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) does not bar your petition.* This petition is not timely as a direct result of appellate counsel's ineffective assistance where he failed to inform the that he had not filed for a writ of certiorari; and consequently, petitioner believing that he was awaiting a reply on a pending appeal failed to move to file a Rule 32 post-conviction motion until after the period of limitations. And under Alabama law, "the limitation's period for filing post-conviction relief is mandatory and jurisdictional, failure to file a post-conviction motion within the limitations period deprives a court of the jurisdiction to review petition." Ex parte Phillips, 887 So2d 324 (Ala.2004). Therefore, as the time to file post-conviction had run, and the time within which to file a Section 2254 had also run, and due to the fact that Alabama Law does not recognize any cause/prejudice excuse to default due to timeliness, this petitioner is left attempting to show cause/ prejudice to this court in order to open an avenue to pursue what would be meritorious issues of constitutional magnitude. While petitioner does not wish to appear as asserting only bare allegations here; until petitioner has been afforded an opportunity to present this properly before a state court, it would As prisoner's are not able to obtain federal be invited error. habeas review simply by "letting the time run" so that state

(see attachment)

- (1) A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of
 - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
 - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
 - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
 - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.
- (2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

^{*} The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

remedies were no longer available. O'Sullivan v Boerckel, 526 US 838, 119 SCt 1728, 144 LEd2d 1 (1999). It is well settled that federal habeas courts may not consider claims that have been defaulted in state court pursuant to an adequate and independent state procedural rule, unless the petitioner can show 'cause' for the default and resulting 'prejudice'. See Murry v Carrier, 477 US 478, 485, 91 LEd2d 397, 106 SCt 2639 (1986); and Roberts v Sutton, 217 F3d 1337, 1341(11thCir2000). This court has also previously determined that "Failure of...counsel to obtain review by...certiorari, or to make a timely petition for certiorari was sufficient state action to authorize federal habeas corpus relief with respect to a state prisoner. The mere failure to act is sufficient to [show cause]", Pressley v Wainwright, 540 F2d 818(5thCir1976). This edict has of course now become even more compulsory in light of the Supreme Court's ruling in O'Sullivan, supra, wherein they posited that for a petitioner to ensure exhaustion of their state court remedies they must present "their claims in a manner of clarity throughout one complete round of the State's established appellate review process", and this was to include any state court petition for certiorari "even if the review of that court is discretionary." See Id.at 848-49. As such, the conundrum of this petitioner is apparent; as due to the State's appointed attorney's failures, this petitioner is now deprived of State or Habeas This type of circumstance might well have been best observed in the concurring opinion of Justice's Breyer and Stevens in Edwards v Carpenter, 529 US 446, 146 LEd2d 518, 120 SCt 1587; wherein they wrote: I concede that this system of [habeas] rules has a certain logic, indeed an attractive power for those who like difficult puzzles. But I believe it must succomb to this question: Why should a prisoner, who may well be proceeding pro se, lose his basic claim because he runs afoul of state procedural rules governing the presentation to state court's of the 'cause' for his not having followed state procedural rules for the presentation of his basic federal claim? And in particular, why should that special default rule apply when the 'cause' at issue is an 'ineffective-assistanceof-counsel' claim..? Id.at 458. The failure to file timely in the instant case is attributable to the State as petitioner being indigent was bound to the attorney to whom he was appointed, and not now allowing for him to present his meritorious claims to the court would result in a manifest injustice and result in the continued incarceration of one for whom our founding fathers desperately attempted to protect in the Writ of Habeas, one who is actually and factually innocent.

Page 15

	Court grant the following relief: To ORDER the State of Alabamia to develop evidence of trial failures by allowing to of time ARCRP, Rule 32, Post-conviction filing
or any other relief to which petitio	
	Signature of Attorney (if any)
I declare (or certify, verify, or stat	e) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpu	s was placed in the prison mailing system on (month, date, year).
7749 11,000	(month, date, year).
Executed (signed) on May 10	<u>2006</u> (date).
•	William La Kishe
	Signature of Petitioner
	1
If the person signing is not petitio	ner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing